Campaign

David Bauer Sam Aanestad for Senate Dated: March 3, 2003 File Number A-02-333 A candidate for state elective office need not report the transfer of a web site from one committee for state office to a future committee of the same candidate for state elective office. The letter supersedes contradictory advice of *Chandler* Advice Letter, No. A-92-548, and *Hansen* Advice Letter, No. A-92-627.

James R. Sutton Friends of Mark Wyland Dated: March 3, 2003 File Number A-03-008 Section 85321 of the Act permits an Assembly member to raise funds in amounts not subject to the limits of sections 85301 and 85302 for the sole purpose of repaying debt from the November 2000 election. For audit and enforcement purposes, the debt from the pre-Proposition 34 election must be segregated in a committee that will not conduct any fundraising for a future election, but will only raise funds for debt retirement.

Gladys O. Miller State Assembly Dated: March 26, 2003 File Number A-03-017 The candidate was advised that due to the circumstances of her particular situation, she will be allowed to use surplus funds consisting of a refund from the county, to pay herself back the money she had given her committee to pay her candidate's filing fee, a payment which was mistakenly characterized as a "contribution."

Alan Seman City of Rancho Mirage Dated: March 13, 2003 File Number A-03-041 A local incumbent candidate asked whether he may contribute funds from his candidate controlled committee established for his current term of office to other city council candidates. The Act does not prohibit the expenditure so long as it is reasonably related to a political, legislative or governmental purpose.

Conflicts of Interest

Refugio Espinoza California Franchise Tax Bd. Dated: March 13, 2003 File Number A-02-312 The Act's conflict-of-interest provisions do not prohibit acquisition of an interest in a CPA partnership, although that economic interest could give rise to a conflict of interest in any governmental decisions with a reasonably foreseeable material financial effect on the economic interest.

Adam U. Lindgren City of Fort Bragg Dated: March 5, 2003 File Number A-02-323

The mayor's employer is indirectly involved in a general plan decision. The mayor may not participate in a decision if the decision will result in a material financial effect on one or more of his economic interests.

Nicole A. Tutt Pajaro Valley Water Management Agency Dated: March 4, 2003 File Number A-02-341 A water district director was advised that he is disqualified from the water district's decisions regarding the district's pending collection suit against an individual who, through his business, is a source of income to the director. The advice follows the guidance in *In re Nord* (1983) 8 FPPC Ops. 6 and the *Hentschke* Advice Letter No. A-80-069.

John B. Fisher City of Dunsmuir Dated: March 21, 2003 File Number I-03-001 A newly elected city council member seeks advice as to whether his business, which sells goods to the city, causes him to have a conflict of interest. The "public generally" exception at regulation 18707.1(b)(1)(D) may apply when a governmental entity is an economic interest (e.g., source of income) to a public official. The analysis also discusses the special "public generally" exception that may apply when an official has an economic interest in a business entity located in a small jurisdiction.

H. Peter Klein Mendocino County Board of Supervisors Dated: March 11, 2003 File Number A-03-006 A county supervisor's personal residence, sources of income and business would potentially be affected by a vote on various aspects of a general plan for the unincorporated county. Based on the analysis of the facts presented, the supervisor was advised that he did not have a disqualifying conflict of interest with respect to his personal residence unless it was reasonably foreseeable that improvements of the access road in front of his home would have a material financial effect on the value of his property. With respect to the sources of income, the supervisor was advised that the source of income in excess of \$500 that had initiated a proceeding before the board was a disqualifying conflict of interest. With respect to his business, the supervisor had determined that the applicable materiality standard would not be met, so there was no disqualifying conflict of interest.

Dana Hield Whitson City of Sausalito Dated: March 28, 2003 File Number A-03-007 A city council member is advised that based on the proximity of his principal residence and/or the shared boundary between common areas of his condominium complex and a city-owned parcel, he is presumed to have a conflict of interest disqualifying him from voting upon decisions concerning the use of the parcel. However, in the event that such use is restricted in its effect to a discrete segment of the parcel and that segment is 500 feet or greater from the shared boundary of the parcel, it is presumed that the council member will not have a conflict of interest disqualifying him from voting upon decisions affecting the use of that segment of the parcel.

Beth Sazama Lyons California Joint Powers Insurance Authority Dated: March 19, 2003 File Number A-03-012 An assistant executive director of the California Joint Powers Insurance Authority will not have a conflict of interest if her spouse bids on or secures a contract with the authority so long as she does not make, participate in making, or influence any governmental decision that will have a foreseeable and material financial effect on any of her economic interests. The official was advised to consult her agency regarding statement of economic interests reporting requirements.

Steven L. Andriese Mountain-Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency Dated: March 20, 2003 File Number A-03-016

> Celia A. Brewer City of Solana Beach Dated: March 12, 2003 File Number A-03-019

> Richard P. Shanahan Sacramento Suburban Water District Dated: March 3, 2003 File Number A-03-020

Clothilde V. Hewlett Department of General Services Dated: March 21, 2003 File Number I-03-024

Clark H. Alsop City of Fontana Dated: March 5, 2003 File Number A-03-032 A change in facts generates a follow-up letter to *Andriese* Advice Ltr. No. A-02-276. In the follow-up letter, the requestor was advised that until a record has been established that the new structure provides a substantive, intervening level of review, it will continue to be considered decision-making. Thus, the members of the committee will be public officials until that time.

A city council member was advised that since his residence was located more than 500 feet from the boundaries of an erosion control project, his interest in real property did not give rise to a conflict of interest disqualifying him from voting on the project. Under the facts provided, a city council decision on the erosion control project would implement an existing ordinance and would be discrete from the city's separate consideration of a new local coastal plan, which plan includes an area of the city located within 500 feet of the council member's residence.

If decisions will affect a director's personal finances by \$250 or more in any 12-month period, that director will have a conflict of interest in the decision and absent an exception, may not make, participate in making, or influence the decisions. Regulation 18705.5(b) provides an exception where the financial effects of a decision are only on "the salary, per diem, or reimbursement for expenses the public official or a member of his or her immediate family receives from a federal, state, or local government agency...." However, this exception does not apply where the decision is to "hire, fire, promote, demote, suspend without pay or otherwise take disciplinary action with financial sanction against the official or a member of his or her immediate family or to set a salary for the official or a member of his or her immediate family which is different from salaries paid to other employees of the government agency in the same job classification or position."

Specific advice regarding the definition of a "consultant" and what constitutes a disqualifying conflict of interest for those consultants is provided in this follow-up letter to *Hewlett* Advice Letter, No. I-02-234. In this case, the consultants will continue to have a conflict of interest in decisions that will have a reasonably foreseeable material financial effect on their former employer until the income from the past 12 months falls below the \$500 threshold.

Certain gifts received in a bona fide dating relationship are not reportable by a city council member and will not create a conflict of interest. These gifts also are not subject to the Act's gift limits.

Shana Levine	General guidance is provided to a school board
Dixon Unified School District	member regarding possible conflicts of interest with a
Dated: March 26, 2003	geotechnical engineering firm of which her husband owns
File Number I-03-036	3%. There was no specific governmental decision analyzed.
	570. There was no specific governmental decision analyzed.
Bradley W. Sullivan	A council member does not have a real property
City of Sutter Creek	interest in his month-to-month tenancy.
Dated: March 11, 2003	interest in his month to month tenancy.
File Number A-03-038	
Roger Rodoni	A member of the Humboldt County Board of
Humboldt County Board of	Supervisors seeks clarification as to whether he can vote on a
Supervisors	decision to allocate additional funds by the district attorney's
Dated: March 10, 2003 File Number A -03-043	office in order to hire outside counsel for a lawsuit against
File Number A-03-043	Pacific Lumber. The member leases property from Pacific
	Lumber. Based on the facts provided, the official will not
	have a conflict of interest unless the decision will have a
	material financial effect on his lease.
Conflict of Interest Code	
Chisorom U. Okwuosa	A state commission should be removed from a state
California Department of	agency's conflict of interest code. A separate code should be
Aging Dated: March 12, 2003	adopted for the commission.
File Number A -03-034	
Gift Limits	
Norbert J. Bartosik	This board was advised that any tickets or passes
California Exposition & State	provided to them by their own agency, other than those
Fair Dated: March 12, 2003	tickets provided for their own attendance, would be gifts
File Number I-03-011	subject to the Act's disclosure and disqualification
	provisions.
Revolving Door	
Bruce A. Kaspari	A retired state administrative official is provided
Department of Justice	with general information concerning the applicability of the
Dated: March 25, 2003 File Number I-03-013	one-year and permanent bans under the Act's post-
rife Number 1-03-013	employment restrictions. A former supervisory employee
	sought advice whether he could accept employment with a
	company that would provide consulting services to agencies
	other than the employee's former state administrative
	agency employer.
SEI	
Ellen Corey Born	A port commissioner need not disclose on his
Port of San Diego	statement of economic interests individual sales of "calls" he
Dated: March 28, 2003 File Number A-03-025	makes during the year. Rather, the investments themselves
File Number A-03-025	and income from the transactions, such as shares in an
	institution, are reported on the schedules of Form 700.